

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/913,883	Applicant(s) AUPAIX ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Jeffery Killian.

Status of Application: rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004

Time: ~11:10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

discussed new issues raised in the After Final Amendment.

Claims discussed:

in the After Final Amendment: 3, 5, 6, 16, 17, and 23.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to point out that the claims in the proposed After Final amendment contained antecedent basis issues, particularly proposed claims 5, 6, 16 and 23; which refer to "the ratio", "the first aforementioned layer" and "the sol". Also noted; the language of "the solid phase" in claim 16, the alternative groups in claims 3, 5 and 17(b); "the compounds" in claim 17(iii). Examiner indicated the amendment could be corrected and resubmitted.